

Intermediary Groups - Workington Zebras Rugby Club (2 Groups)

Group	Question	Agree	Response
Group 1 (Under 18s)	Overview		<p>14 young people took part in the consultation in the Zebras changing room.</p> <p>The session started with a discussion around what a repository was, where it might be sited and what it may look like. The group then discussed geology, safety and the community benefits package.</p>
	1 – Geology	Yes – 10 No – 0 Not Sure/ Partly – 4	<p>The discussion around geology looked at why Cumbria had been chosen to site the repository and also how the ground had been accessed. The group let that if this had not been done properly then the site could be unsafe. The group also raised some safety issues which are in the safety section of this from.</p> <p>In summary most of the group agreed with the partnerships findings and those that were not sure would like some more independent information; as this would help them to agree with the partnerships opinion.</p>
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Yes – 11 No – 0 Not Sure/ Partly – 3	<p>11 of the group agreed with the partnership and would be happy to have the repository sited in Cumbria; this is probably because allot of the group where either working within the industry or training for the nuclear industry. They felt that because Sellafield was already here we could manage the site effectively and then use existing experience to keep the site safe.</p> <p>The group did have some reservations about the repository. They were concerned about the health implications of people working within the repository. The group also wondered how the site would be 'future proofed' in case technology increased and safer ways of disposing of waste could be found.</p> <p>The 3 not sures chose this option because they did not know enough about the repository and additional information would probably help them to agreed with the partnership.</p>
	4 – Community benefits	Yes – 14	<p>The group agreed with the partnerships view on the benefits package. They thought that it would be of benefit to them and their local community.</p> <p>However the group did want the exact items within the package to be shares as soon as possible, because if the package was not good enough then that would change their minds.</p>

Group 2 (Under 15s)	Overview		<p>15 young people took part in the consultation in the Zebras changing room.</p> <p>The session started with a discussion around what a repository was, where it might be sited and what it may look like. The group then discussed geology, safety and the community benefits package.</p>
	1 – Geology	<p>Yes – 6 No – 2 Not Sure/ Partly – 7</p>	<p>The discussion around geology looked what areas of Cumbria could possibly hoist the repository and how that might affect the group. The group felt they were unsure that enough research had been done into the safety of Cumbria ground and if this was done badly this could cause major issues for the future. The group also raised some safety issues which are in the safety section of this from.</p> <p>In summary the group had a mixed response to the partnership views. They felt that to better understand the repository more information would be needed and that the information should be tailored to young people.</p>
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	<p>Yes – 9 No – 0 Not Sure/ Partly – 6</p>	<p>The group was fairly split on this section, but no one was opposed to the repository.</p> <p>The group had worries about the health of workers who might be exposed to new forms of radioactive waste. The group were worried about the site being able to be viewed on Google Earth as this might make it easier to attack. The group also thought that the repository being underground would help to make this safer in the longer term.</p> <p>Here again the group thought that if there was more information available they may agree with the partnerships views; again they wanted this to be specific to young people.</p>
	4 – Community benefits	<p>Yes – 15</p>	<p>The group agreed with the partnerships view on the benefits package. They thought that it would be of benefit to them and their local community.</p> <p>The group did want the exact items within the package to be shares as soon as possible, because if the package was not good enough then that would change their minds. They also wanted to be able to influence what was in the package and who would benefit from it.</p>