

Intermediary Groups - West Cumbria Trades Hall Centre (9 Groups)

Group	Question	Agree	Response
Group 1	Overview		Group of 4 people.
	1 – Geology	Not Sure/ Partly	<p>WE FEEL THAT IT WILL PROMOTE JOBS IN THE ARE BUT ARE UNSURE WHETHER IT WILL HARM THE ENVIROMENT.</p> <p>THERE ARE ALSO CONCERNS ABOUT PEOPLES HEALTH AND THE ACUTAL LOCATION BECAUSE NOBODY WOULD WANT THIS ON THEIR DOORSTOP.</p> <p>OVERALL THE GENERAL FEELING WITHIN THE GROUP IS THAT IT WOULD CREATE MORE JOBS THAT ARE VERY MUCH REQUIRED IN THIS AREA. THE REPOSITORY WOULD HAVE TO BE CLOSE ENOUGH TO BE ACSESSED BY PUBLIC TRANSPORT LINKS.</p>
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Yes	<p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE RESEARCH HAS OBVIOUSLY BEEN CARRIED OUT WITH THE PUBLICS BEST INTERESTS AT HEART.</p> <p>THEREFORE THE GROUP FEEL THAT SAFETY, LOCATION AND PLANNING OF THE SITE HAS BEEN CAREFULLY THOUGHT OUT BY THE ENVIROMENT AGENCY AND THE NDA</p>
	3 – Impacts	Yes	<p>THE MAIN POSITIVES THAT WERE FELT BY THE GROUP WERE THAT IT WOULD CREATE MORE JOBS IN THE AREA AND THAT IT SEEMED SAFE STORING THE WASTE UNDERGROUND.</p> <p>NEGATIVES WERE HEALTH CONDITIONS, LOCATION, SECURITY AND MONTIORING OF THE WASTE.</p>
	4 – Community benefits	Yes	<p>THE GROUP FELT THAT IT WOULD BENEFIT THE PUBLIC, LOCAL SCHOOLS AND CHRUCHES ETC.</p> <p>THEY FELT THAT THE COMMUNITY BENEFITS PACKAGE SOUNDS INTERESTING BUT THERE NEED TO BE A MORE FIRM UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE AND WHO WILL BENEFIT FROM IT IN MORE DETAIL.</p>
	5 – Design and engineering	Yes	<p>THE GROUP AGREED THAT THE WASTE REPOSITORY SHOULD BE UNDERGROUND AS IT IS LESS LIKELY TO HARM ANYBODY.</p> <p>THE GROUP FELTTTHAT THERE NEEDS TO BE MORE INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC AND HOW YOU INTEND TO BUILD THIS.</p>

6 – Inventory	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION ON THIS SO MORE INFORMATION WOULD BE MORE HELPFUL TO CREATE AN OPINION. THE GROUP WANT TO KNOW EXACTLY WHAT WASTE WILL BE STORED?
7 – Siting process	Yes	THE GROUP BELIEVE THAT IT IS IMPORTANT TO GET THE PUBLICS VIEWS AND OPINIONS ON THIS AND THAT AN OPINION POLL IS A GOOD WAY FORWARD.
8 – Overall views on participation		THE GROUP FELT THAT ALLERDALE AND COPELAND COUNCIL SHOULD WORK TOGETHER TO BRING THE REEPOSITORY INTO WETS CUMBRIA AS THERE ARE HIGH AREAS OF DEPRIVATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT SO HAVING THE REPOSITORY WOULD BRING MORE EMPLOYMENT TO THE AREA.
9 – Additional comments		THERE IS NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION IN EACH SECTION OF THE PROPOSAL TO MAKE A FULL DECISION. THE GROUP ARE PRO THE REPOSITORY AS IT WILL HELP DISPOSE OF WASTE IN A SAFE AND CONTROLLED WAY.
Group 2	Overview	Group of 7 people.
1 – Geology	Yes	4 PEOPLE AGREE WITHIN THE GROUP THAT IT SHOULD BE SITUATED IN WEST CUMBRIA AND IN THE AREAS AS PLANNED OUT IN THE BOOKLET. THERE OPINION IS THAT IF ITS STRUCTURE IS KEPT IN A RURAL LOCATION IT WONT BE SEEN AS AN EYE SORE TO VISITORS TO THE AREA WHICH WOULD EFFECT GROWTH PARTICULARLY IN OUR TOURIST AREAS. 3 PEOPLE IN THE GROUP DISAGREE BECAUSE THEY FEEL THAT IT SHOULDNT BE SITUATED IN WEST CUMBRIA AT ALL AS THEY FEEL IT WOULD HAVE A HUGE IMPACT ON OUR LOCAL ECONOMY AS NOBODY WOULD WANT TO COME TO THE AREA ND THAT ITS BAD ENOUGH THAT WE HAVE SELLAFIELD.
2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP DISCUSSED THE SAFETY ASPECT AND THEY DO NOT FEEL THAT THE SECURITY INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED IS NOT INDEPTH ENOUGH. THE GROUP FEEL THAT THEY ARE UNABLE TO GIVE AN OPINION ON THE SAFETY ASPECT AS THINGS WILL CAHNGE OVER THE PROCESS. THEY WANT TO KNOW THAT THE PUBLICS OPINION WILL BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT AT EACH STAGE OF THE PROCESS AND HOW WILL THE PUBLIC

		KNOW THAT THEIR OPINION IS BEING TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.
3 – Impacts	Yes	<p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE EFFECTS HAVE BEEN LOOKED AT, BUT FEEL THAT THIS NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED AT DIFFERENT STAGES IN THE PROCESS TO ENSURE THAT ANY OTHER ISSUES THAT ARISE NEED TO BE MADE PUBLIC</p> <p>POSITIVE IMPACTS THAT THE GROUP WOULD LIKE TO SEE ARE MORE JOBS IN THE AREA MADE AVAILABLE TO CUMBRIAN PEOPLE AND THAT FUNDING WOULD BE SUPPLIED TO UPSKILL LOCAL PEOPLE ENABLING THEM TO GAIN DUMPTRUCK TICKETS ETC FOR THE MAIN CONSTRUCTION OF THE REPOSITORY.</p>
4 – Community benefits	Yes	<p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT A COMMUNITY BENEFITS PACKAGE SHOULD BE IN PLACE AN IT SHOULD INCLUDE:</p> <p>BETTER ROAD NETWORKS MORE JOBS MORE MONEY FOR SCHOOLS AND SUPPORT THE LOCAL COUNCILS TO ENABLE CUMBRIA TO TAKE PART IN EVENTS.</p>
5 – Design and engineering	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP DO NOT FEEL THEY KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THIS TO COMMENT, THEY FEEL THAT MORE INDEPTH INFORMATION SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE NOW TO ALLOW THEM TO MAKE AN INFORMED CHOICE.
6 – Inventory	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THEY WOULD NEED MORE INFORMATION ON THIS TO COMMENT AS THEY THOUGHT THAT THE SECTION IN THE BOOK WAS NOT VERY INDEPTH.
7 – Siting process	Yes	THE GROUP FEELS THAT THE RIGHT STEPS HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO MAKE THE PROCESS KNOWN TO THE PUBLIC AND FEEL THAT THE STEPS TAKEN TO INVOLVE THE LOCAL COUNCILS IS THE RIGHT DECISION AS LONG AS THE COUNCILS WORK TOGETHER FOR THE GREATER GOOD OF CUMBRIA AND THERE IS NOT A COPELAND / ALLERDALE DEVIDE.
8 – Overall views on participation		THE GROUP FEELS THAT THE COUNCILS WILL HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER AS SOME MEMBERS OF THE GROUP FEEL THAT COPELAND DO GET MORE IN THE WAY OF COMMUNITY BENEFITS DUE TO SELLAFIELD BEING LOCATED THERE.
Group 3	Overview	Group of 5 people.
	1 – Geology	No THE GROUP DONT AGREE TOTALLY WITH THE GEOLOGY OF THE POSSIBLE SITING.

		<p>IT WAS NOTED THAT IN THE ABSENCE OF CLEAR DEATEILED EVIDENCE SHOWING THAT ALL OF WEST CUMBRIA SHOULD BE RULED OUT, OUR INITAL OPINION IS THAT THERE ARE IS ENOUGH SUITABLE LAND TO MAKE FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS WORTHWHILE.</p> <p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT THERE ARE TOO MANY UNSURE STATEMENTS BE MAKE A CONFIDENT INFORMED CHOICE ABOUT THE REPOSITORY.</p>
2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	No	<p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT THEY HAVE COVERED ALL AREAS OF PROS AND CONS, THEY FEEL THAT THERE ARE ALWAYS GOING TO BE HUMAN ERRORS AND CUTBACK ESPECIALLY WITH GOVERMENT CHANGES ETC. THE GROUP FEEL THAT MONEY THAT WOPULD BE EAR MARKED SHOULD BE PROTECTED AND GOVERMENT INFLUENCE SHOUDL NOT COME INTO PLAY.</p> <p>THE GROUP ARE ALSO CONCERNED ABOUT THE LONG TERM HEALTH RISKS, ONE PERSON WANTED TO ADD. I MAY NOT BE HERE IN 100 YEARS BUT MY CHILDRENS CHILDREN WILL BE.</p>
3 – Impacts	No	<p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT ONE OF THE MAIN POSITIVES IMPACTS WILL BE THE RISE IN JOBS AND THAT I MAY ALLOW OUR UNIVERSITY STUDENTS TO STAY IN CUMBRIA ESPECIALLY IF THEY ARE STUDYING THE SCIENCE ETC.</p> <p>A CONCERN WAS RAISED ABOUT THE IMPACT ON THE ENVIROMENT TODAY AND IN THE FUTURE AND HOW WILL IT EFFECT TOURISIM TO OUR AREA.</p>
4 – Community benefits	No	<p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT IF THE GOVERMENT HAS THE REINS FOT HE REPOSITORY, IT WILL NOT BENEFIT THE COMMUNITY, THEY FEEL THAT THE GOVEREMENT SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO REAP ALL THE BENEFITS AND AS THEY DO WITH MOST VENTURES.</p> <p>ONE MEMBER OF THE GROUP STATED THAT HE FELT THE GOVERMENT WOULD AGREE TO STORE THE RUBBISH OF THE WORLD BUT ONLY FOR THEIR PERSONAL GAIN AND OUR LOCAL COMMUNITIES WILL SUFFER WITH A LOSS OF BEAUTY AND HEALTH /WEALTH.</p>
5 – Design and engineering	No	<p>MOST OF THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE STATEMENT (FLEXIBLE AND RETRIEVABILITY) ARE NOT ENOUGH.</p> <p>3 MEMEBERS OF THE GROUP STATED THAT THEY FEEL THIS HAS NOT BEEN LOOKED INTO WITH AS MUCH DETAIL AND THAT ITS BEEN LEFT HANGING IN THE AIR A LITTLE.</p>

	6 – Inventory	No	AGAIN THE GROUP FEEL THAT THIS HAS BEEN LEFT A BIT VAGUE " HOW CAN PEOPLE BE FOR EHT EREPOSITORY WHEN THEY KEEP SAYING SATISFACTORY PROGRESS TOWARDS AGREEING A SET OF PRINCIPLES WITH THE GOVERNMENT.
	7 – Siting process	No	MEMBERS OF THE GROUP WERE CONCERNED THAT 15 YEARS OF BOREHOLES BEFORE THE FINAL UNDERGROUND CONSTRUCTION COMPLETE IS HARSH ON THE COMMUNITY AND THE ENVIROMENT. WHAT SORT OF IMPACT IS THIS GONNA HAVE ON OUR SCHOOLS, ROAD NETWORKS ETC.
	8 – Overall views on participation		3 MEMBERS OF THE GROUP FEEL THAT THIS AREA HAS ONLY BEEN SELECTED AS IT HAS ALREADY BEEN TAINITED BY SELLAFIELD THEY FEEL TAHT THIS SHOULD BE SITED SOMEWHERE WITH NO BUILT UP COMMUNITTIES AND THAT ROAD / RAIL NETWORKS SHOULD BE MADE TO IT. THEY FEEEL THAT THE MAIN BENEFITS WILL BE JOBS BUT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE STRICT RESTRICTIONS AND TRAINING MADE AVAILABLE TO PEOPLE THAT NEED THEM JOBS.
Group 4	Overview		Group of 4 people.
	1 – Geology	Yes	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE GEOLOGY IS FINE BUT PEOPLE WILL ONLY ACTUALLY START TO COMPLAIN IF IT IS ACTUALLY SITED ON THEIR OWN DOORSTEP. THEY FEEL THAT IT IS BETTER STORING THE WASTE UNDERGROUND AS THIS IS A SAFER OPTION BUT WHAT ABOUT GASES ETC. THE MAIN CONCERN WITHIN THIS GROUP IS PEOPLES HEALTH AND WILL THIS BE AFFECTED AT A LATER DATE..
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO SHOW THE SAFETY AND WELLBEING OF THE COMMUNITY. TO BACK UP THE SAFETY ASPECT ALL POTENTIAL EFFECTS SHOULD BE CLEARLY STATED AND MADE AVAILABLE FOR THE PUBLIC TO VIEW BUT BE PUT INTO SIMPLE TERMS.
	3 – Impacts	Yes	THE GROUP FEEL THAT IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR WEST CUMBRIA ECONOMICALLY AS MORE JOBS WOULD BE CREATED AND THAT WILL BENEFIT THE PEOPLE FROM WEST CUMBRIA. THE GROUP FEEL THAT A NEGATIVE EFEFCT MAY BE, THAT IT MAY STOP PEOPLE COMING TO CUMBRIA AS WE JUST SEEM TO BE A DUMPING GROUND FOR NUCLEAR WASTE. THE LAKE DISTRICTY SIDE SHOULD NOT BE EFFECTED LIKE KESWICK, WINDERMERE ETC BUT THE WEST COAST COULD BE EFFCETED AS IT IS MORE LIKELY TO BE SITTED IN THE GEOLOGY AREA AS STATED.

	4 – Community benefits	Not Sure/ Partly	THE MAJORITY OF THE GROUP FEEL THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH EVIDENCE FOR WHAT COMMUNITY BENEFITS ARE GONNA BE IN PLACE AND WHAT WILL BE CONSIDERED. THE COMMUNITY BENEFITS SHOULD BE DISCUSSED WITH SCHOOLS, CHURCHES, LOCAL PARISHES AND NOT BE LEFT TO THE GOVERNMENT TO DECIDE HOW IT IS SPENT.
	5 – Design and engineering	Yes	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE DESIGN OF THE REPOSITORY NEEDS TO BE LOOKED AT REALLY CLOSELY AS IT NEEDS TO BE OF THE DESIGN THAT THE WASTE CAN BE RECOVERED OR BURIED IF NEEDED THERE NEEDS TO BE SAFETY (GET OUTS) ETC INCASE SOMETHING DOESNT WORK AND SAFEGUARDS SHOULD BE PUT IN PLACE.
	6 – Inventory	Not Sure/ Partly	THE MAJORITY OF THE GROUP FEEL THAT IT IS UNFAIR TO USE THE REPOSITORY AS A DUMPING GROUND FOR THE WORLDS WASTE, MONEY THAT WILL BE PAID TO DO THIS SHOULD SEE US HAVE BETTER ROAD NETWORKS POSSIBLY AND AIRPORT AND A BETTER FUTURE FOR THE CHILDREN OF CUMBRIA.
	7 – Siting process	No	THE GROUP FELT THAT THIS CONSULTATION PERIOD WAS A GOOD THING AND THAT MORE PEOPLE SHOULD BE MADE TO GET INVOLVED AS ITS THE FUTURE OF CUMBRIA AND ITS PEOPLE. WITH REGARDS TO THE SITING OF THE REPOSITORY THEY FEEL THAT THE PROBLEMS WILL START TO OCCUR WHEN ITS ACTUALLY NAMED WHERE IT IS GONNA BE.
	8 – Overall views on participation		ONE MEMBER OF THE GROUP WOULD LIKE TO STATE THAT IT SHOULD BE SITED IN THE MIDDLE OF NOWHERE SO TO SPEAK AS THIS WOULD BE BETTER ON ALL IN CUMBRIA
Group 5	Overview		Group of 5 people.
	1 – Geology	Yes	THE GROUP AGREE THAT THE GEOLOGY NEEDS TO BE LOOKED AT VERY CAREFULLY AS THEY ARE VERY DANGEROUS MATERIALS AND NEED TO BE STORED CORRECTLY. AS TO PROTECT THE COMMUNITY OF CUMBRIA. IF THIS REPOSITORY HAS TO BE KEPT FOR THOUSANDS OF YEARS AN UNDERGROUND FACILITY IS BETTER.
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Yes	THE GROUP FEEL THAT WE HAVE ALREADY INHERITED NUCLEAR WASTE. WHICH NEEDS TO BE STORED IN A SAFE PLACE AND SECURE WAY FOR A VERY LONG TIME. THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING AUTHORITY HAVE OBVIOUSLY

		RECOGNISED THIS AND THEY ARE TRYING TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT AS ITS THE FUTURE OF CUMBRIA I.E JOBS ETC.
3 – Impacts	Yes	<p>THE GROUPS OPINION IS THAT ITS HARD TO MAKE A DECISION UNTIL A SITE IS FOUND. HOWEVER IT IS A POSITIVE SIGN THAT AN AVERAGE OF 550 PEOPLE PER YEAR WILL BE EMPLOYED OVER A 140 YEAR PERIOD.</p> <p>BECAUSE MOST OF THE REPOSITORY IS GOING TO BE UNDERGROUND IT SHOULDNT HAVE TO MUCH OF A EFFECT ON THE LAKE DISTRICT AND NATIONAL PARK.</p> <p>IF ALOT OF TRAFFIC NEEDS TO GO TO THE SITE THE COUNCIL OR GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE TO LOOK AT THE COUNTY ROADS AND HOW WE CAN IMPROVE THEM.</p>
4 – Community benefits	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP HAVE SAID THAT THEY BELIEVE THERE SHOULD BE A COMMUNITY BEENFITS PACKAGE TO HELP THE COMMUNITIES THAT ARE CLOSE TO THE SITE. BUT THE GROUP FEEL THERE IS NOT ENOUHG INFORMATION ABOUT THIS AND THERE NEEDS TO BE FIRMER GUIDLINES IN PLACE.
5 – Design and engineering	Yes	<p>THE DESIGN OF THE REPOSITORY IS LOW LEVEL WITH THE LESS IMPACT ON THE LANDSCAPE AS FIRST THOUGHT.</p> <p>THE MULTI BARRIER APPROACH LOOKS A VERY EFFECTIVE WAY OF STORING THE WASTE ESPECIALLY FOR HIGHER LEVEL WASTE .</p>
6 – Inventory	Yes	THE INVENTORY GOES AS FAR AS IT CAN CONSIDERING WE DO NOT KNOW DEFINATLY WHETHER OR NOT THERE WILL BE A NEW BUILD.
7 – Siting process	Yes	THE GROUP MAINLY FEEL THAT POSITIVE STEPS ARE BEING TAKEN TO FIND A SUITABLE SITE, ESPECIALLY SOPEAKING TO COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO FIND OUT THERE VIEWS THIS TAKES INTO ACCOUNT POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FEEDBACK.
8 – Overall views on participation		<p>THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE COUNCILS ARE DOING THE BEST THEY CAN FOR COMMUNITES AND ARE GIVING PEOPLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS THEY CAN ON THIS.</p> <p>ALSO AGRRED WAS THE GOOD POINT OF THEY CAN PULL OUT IF THINGS ARENT WORKING.</p>
Group 6	Overview	Group of 8 people.

1 – Geology	Yes	PART OF THE GROUP STATED THAT IT MUST BE GEOLOGICALLY SOUND OR IT WOULD NOT BE SAFE UNDERGROUND TGGHE GROUP HAD A GOOD DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS. THE GROUP THOUGHT THAT UNDERGROUND DISPOSAL WOULD BE BETTER THAN STORING WASTE BAOVE GROUND DUE TO THE ELEMENTS / EROSIION AND LEAKAGE.
2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Yes	THE GROUP DISCUSSED THE SAFETY ASPECT OF THE REPOSITORY AND AGRRED THAT THERE MUST BE CARE TAKEN TOWARDS ENVIROMENTAL ISSUES AND A FEW OF THE GROUP STATED THAT WE DO NOT WANT IT TO BE LIKE NIREX AS THIS WOULD NOT BE GOOD.
3 – Impacts	Not Sure/ Partly	<p>QUESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT FORWARD.</p> <p>WHAT WILL HAPPEN WITH THE WASTE THATS EXTRACTED FROM UNDERGROUND WHERE WILL IT GO?</p> <p>WILL IT BE RECYCLED OR STORED?</p> <p>WOULD THE TRANSPORTATION ON NUCLEAR WASTE BE SAFE TRANSPORTING IT THROUGH THE COUNTRY AND HOW WOULD THIS BE MADE SAFE?</p> <p>HOW WOULD THIS CHANGE THE LOOK OF THE COUNTRYSIDE?</p> <p>WOULD THE ROAD AND RAIL INFASTRUCTURE BE IMPROVED TO HANDLE THE INCREASED VOLUME?</p>
4 – Community benefits	Yes	<p>IN RETURN FOR ACCEPTING A RESPOSITORY THE BENEFITS SHOULD INCLUDE:</p> <p>BETTER TRAINING FOR UPSKILLING THE LOCAL WORK FORCE AND MORE APPRENTICESHIPS</p> <p>BETTER COMMUNITY FACILITIES</p> <p>BETTER SPORTING FACILITIES</p> <p>IMPROVED HEALTH AND SCHOOL FACILITIES</p>
5 – Design and engineering	Yes	DESIGN AND ENGINNERING SHOULD BE OF THE HIGHEST STANDARD USEWD IN ANY OTHER REPOSITORY ALREADY IN USE IN EUROPE.
6 – Inventory	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION AVAILABLE AND THAT THIS IS ALL DIFFICULT FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC TO UNDERSTAND. THE IMPACT OF CHANGING THE INVENTORY OR HOW MUCH CAN ACTUALLY BE PUT IN THE RESPOSITORY MORE CONSULTATION WOULD HAVE TO BE NEEDED BEFOR ETHIS COULD BE INCREASED OR NEW TYPES OF WASTE

		COULD BE INTRODUCED.	
	7 – Siting process	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE PROCESS SET OUT IS FINE AND ALOT HAS BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, BUT WILL THE PUBLIC HAVE THERE SAY AND WILL THERE BE A REFERENDUM.
	8 – Overall views on participation		YES THERE SHOULD BE A FINAL CONSULTATION BEFORE THE COUNCILS MAKE AT TOTAL COMMITMENT.
Group 7	Overview		Group of 6 people.
	1 – Geology	Yes	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THEY HAVE BEEN GUIDED BY THE FINDINGS OF EXPERT FINDINGS AND STUDIES MADE. MORE INFORMATION WOULD BE WELCOMED BY THE PUBLIC BEFORE A FINAL DECISION CAN BE MADE. OTHER EFFECTS OF THE GROUP WERE THE POSSIBLE EFFECTS OF EARTH TREMORS AS EXPERIENCED LAST YEAR.
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Not Sure/ Partly	SOME PEOPLE IN THE GROUP WERE VERY WORRIED ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF LEAKAGES AND THE IMPACT THAT A LEAK WOULD HAVE ON THE ENVIROMENT AND AND FOR THE PEOPLE OF CUMBRIA. SECURITY WAS ALSO RAISED HOW WOULD THE SITE BE POLICED AND WOULD IT BE SAFE AGAINST TERRORIST ATTACKS?
	3 – Impacts	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP ASKED ABOUT HOW THE SPOILS FORM THE DIGGING WOULD BE DISPOSED OF?. WOULD THIS BE SHIPPED SOMEHWERE ELSE. WOULD MORE JOBS BE CREATED AND WOULD THE WORKFORCE BE LOCAL LABOUR. HOW MUCH MORE TRAFFIC WOULD BE CREATED AS A RESULT OF THIS FACILITY AND HOW WOULD THE ROADS COPE WITH THIS? WHAT WOULD THE HEALTH IMPLICATIONS BE.
	4 – Community benefits	Yes	COMMUNITY BENEFITS SHOULD INCLUDE MORE APPRENTICESHIPS AND JOBS FOR LOCAL PEOPLE. IMPROVED INFASTRUCTURE I.E ROADS AND RAIL NETWORKS AND IMPROVED LINKS TO THE M6 FUNDING FOR COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS

5 – Design and engineering	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP AGREED THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION AVAILABLE REGARDING THIS MATTER AND THAT IT SHOULD BE MADE CLEARER AND PUT IN SIMPLE TERMS.	
6 – Inventory	Not answered	THE GROUP WERE UNSURE AS TO THE CHANGING THE INVENTORY WOULD HAVE A NEGAATIVE IMPACT WHEN IT WAS NOT, CLEAR HOW MUCH WASTE WOULD BE STORED AND HOW MUCH IT CAN BE OPEN ENDED.	
7 – Siting process	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP THOUGHT THAT THE PROCESS WAS FINE BUT IN THE END IT WOULD BE LEFT TO THE COUNCILS TO DECIDE WHAT THEY WANT YES OR NO, REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE PUBLIC SAY OR WANT.	
8 – Overall views on participation		THE COUNCILS SHOULD BE ABLE TO PROCEED WITH THE PROCESS FOR THE SUITABLE SITE AND NOT BE COMMITTED TO HAVING IT.	
Group 8	Overview	Group of 5 people.	
	1 – Geology	Not Sure/ Partly	THIS GROUP FELT THAT THERE ARE TO MANY NEGATIVE STATEMENTS, THEREFORE THEY DO NOT FEEL THAT THEY HAVE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO JUSTIFY GOING AHEAD WITH THE WASTE REPOSITORY.
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	No	THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE HEALTH AND SAFETY ASPECT MUST BE TOP PRIORITY AND HOW IT WOULD EFFECT THE ENVIROMENT AND THE LOCAL COMMUNITY. MOST OF THE GROUP DONT FEEL THAT THERE HAS BEEN ENOUGH INVESTIGATION CARRIED OUT IN THIS AREA EVEN IF THE WASTE IN STORED UNDERGROUND THE POSSIBILITY OF A LEAK CAN NEVER BE RULED OUT TOTALLY.
	3 – Impacts	Not Sure/ Partly	POSITIVES: IT WILL CREATE LOCAL JOBS WHICH WE NEED IN OUR AREA NEGATIVES: WOULD IT IMPACT ON THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY WOULD IT DETER TOURISTS FORM COMING TO THE AREA WOULD IT EFFECT ENVIROMENTAL ISSUES INCREASING POLLUTION THE GROUP FEEL THAT THE NEGATIVES OUTWAY THE POSITIVES.
	4 – Community benefits	No	THE GROUP FEEL THAT SETTING OUT DEATILS OF A COMMUNITY PACKAGE IS ALL WELL AND GOOD BUT THERE IS NO DEFINATES THAT WE WILL SEC RUE THIS, WILL THE GOVERNMENT TAKE WHAT THEY WANT FIRST AND THE LOCAL COMMUNITY GET WHATEVERS LEFT EVEN THO THEY HAVE TO DEAL WITH IT.

5 – Design and engineering	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP DO NOT FEEL THAT THERE IS ENOUGH INFORMATION ON THIS AREA TO FORM A DEFINATE OPINION, THEY WOULD LIKE MORE INFORMATION BEFORE MAKING A INFORMED DECISION.
6 – Inventory	No	ESTIMATING AMOUNTS OF WASTE AND MAKING SATIFICATORY PROGRESS DO NOT CREATE A FEELING OF SECURITY AND SAFETY THE GROUP FEEL THAT MUCH MORE RESEARCH NEEDS TO BE CARRIED OUT BEFORE THE CHANCE TO PULL OUT LAPSES.
7 – Siting process	Not Sure/ Partly	A LENGHTLY PROCESS IS FINE BUT AT ALL STAGES THE PUBLIC OPINION NEEDS TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT. THE PUBLIC NEED TO KNOW WHAT THE IMPACT WOULD BE TO THEIR COMMUNITIES AND HOW IT WOULD EFFECT THEM .
Group 9	Overview	Group of 4 people.
	1 – Geology	No THE GROUP HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT TESTS THAT HAD ALREADY BEEN CARRIED OUT BY AN AUTHORISED ORGANISATION 15 - 20 YEARS AGO AND THEY SAID THAT THE GROUND WAS NOT SUITABLE WHY HAS THIS CHANGED?
	2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Not Sure/ Partly THEY GROUP FEEL THAT YOU CAN NEVER BE SURE OF TOTAL SAFETY WHEN IT COMES TO NUCLEAR WASTE AS YOU CANT ALWAYS DEAL WITH THE HUMAN ELEMENT OF ERROR. THEY GROUP STARTED TALKING ABOUT THE NUCLEAR DISASTER IN JAPAN.
	3 – Impacts	Not Sure/ Partly ALOT OF THE GROUP DO NOT WANT TO SEE THIS REPOSITORY IN CUMBRIA AS THEY FEEL THAT WE HAVE ENOUGH COMMITMENT WITH SELLAFIELD. THEY DO NOT WANT TO SEE US BEING A DUMPING GROUND FOR THE WORLDS WASTE.
	4 – Community benefits	Not Sure/ Partly WOULD THERE BE ANY BENEFITS FOR THE COMMUNITY? AND IF SO HOW WOULD THESE BE DECIDED UPON?, WOULD THE PUBLIC GET TO CHOOSE THESE.
	5 – Design and engineering	Not Sure/ Partly THE GROUP HAVE SOME RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE RETRIEVABILITY OF THE WASTE AND HOW WOULD THIS WORK? IS THERE NOT A BETTER WAY THAT IT CAN BE MOTIVATED?
	6 – Inventory	Not Sure/ Partly THE GROUP HAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT SELLAFIELD IS A LARGE ENOUGH SITE TO HOLD THE NUCLEAR WASTE THERE WHY DOES THIS REPOSITORY HAVE TO BE BUILT.

7 – Siting process	Not Sure/ Partly	THE GROUP FEEL THAT PEOPLE WHO ARE MORE TECHNICALLY MINDED CAN ANSWER THIS QUESTION AND DISCUSS THE NEXT STAGE THEY DO NOT FEEL THAT IT HAS BEEN WRITTEN IN SIMPLE TERMS.
8 – Overall views on participation		ALL DISCOVERIES SHOULD BE MADE PUBLIC SO THE PUBLIC CAN MAKE AN INFORMED CHOICE.