

Intermediary Group - Millom Community Group

Question	Agree	Response
Overview		<p>20 people took part in a group discussion facilitated by ACT.</p> <p>[Comments from facilitator]</p> <p>At this session there were 20 members in the group, following the showing of the DVD I gave them the option of completing response forms prior to them leaving, or having a group response, because of the large numbers, however I don't think that was necessarily the right option because many took a further option (which wasn't offered to them) of taking the forms away with them and submitting later.</p>
1 – Geology	Not answered	<p>In the last 20 years there has been no credible energy policy, why can't they just get on with the process of identifying possible sites but making sure that they keep the community informed. There has to be a mix of energy sources of which nuclear has to be one, something has to be done with the waste and this process needs to run its course. A number of members of this group took away response forms coded MAC stating that they preferred to give the questions some consideration before submitting them.</p>
2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Not answered	<p>There are the obvious security implications and environmental concerns, can it ever be safe, how can we say what any man-made structure will be like in hundreds and thousands of years from now, what reassurances will there be that they will be safe for future generations. Whilst not an expert or having an extensive knowledge of the area it appears that the work is being done to ensure safety. A number of members of the group took away response forms coded MAC preferring to give the questions more consideration before submitting them.</p>
3 – Impacts	Not answered	<p>It will produce jobs and that will benefit the area. How many jobs and will they be long term or short term. Have they not missed a trick, if this process is safe should it not include waste from other countries thereby generating more income and the possibility of more jobs and greater wealth for the area. A number of members of the group took away response forms coded MAC preferring to give more consideration to the questions before submitting them.</p>
4 – Community benefits	Not answered	<p>What benefits could reasonably be expected, what benefits could be on offer, more detail required on the set of principles that have been agreed with government. The county cannot rely on tourism as the main source of income for the community, we need investment, we have to have other industries in the area, how can future investment be built into any community benefits package. A number of members of the group</p>

		took away reponse forms preferring to give more consideration to the questions before submitting them.
5 – Design and engineering	Not answered	We are not experts and cannot comment on design technology. There are the obvious safety implications of creating something designed to last hundreds and thousands of years. The question of retrieveability not fully answered. A number of members of the group took away response forms coded MAC preferring to give more consideration to the questions before submitting them.
6 – Inventory	Not answered	How can the community influence what would go into any repository. A number of members of the group took away response preferring to give greater consideration to the questions before submitting them.
7 – Siting process	Not Sure/ Partly	What other process could be adopted, the most important stage will be when any potential site is identified, that will be when many of these questions will take on a far greater importance. What about a referendum, whan will that take place, how much sway will Friends of the Earth, Greenpeace etc have in determining where any potential site is. A number of members of the group took away response forms preferring to give greater consideration to the questions prior to sumbitting them.
8 – Overall views on participation		It has to go somewhere.