

**Intermediary Group - 1st Seaton Scout Group**

Question	Agree	Response
<b>Overview</b>		<p>18 young people took part in the consultation during their scout group night.</p> <p>The session started with a discussion around what the repository might look like and the history behind government's decision to bury waste and the partnerships formation. The discussion then moved on to the partnerships opinions so far on safety, the community benefits package and impacts.</p>
<b>1 – Geology</b>	Yes – 18	<p>The whole group agreed that the BGS survey could be trusted. They also agreed that there is currently not enough evidence to rule out West Cumbria as geologically unsuitable.</p>
<b>2 – Safety, security, environment and planning</b>	Yes – 18	<p>The whole group agreed with the partnership's initial opinions and felt there are necessary regulatory bodies and processes in place to progress onto the next stage. They also agreed that the partnership understands the current planning process.</p> <p>But. They felt they would want to know more about build quality if the partnership move onto the next stage, the group were worried about waste leaking from the repository.</p> <p>They also felt that they would want to know more about risks to houses built above the repository and health risks.</p>
<b>3 – Impacts</b>	Yes – 6 No – 5 Not Sure/ Partly – 5	<p>6 young people agreed with the partnership and thought that the repository could fit with the economic future of West Cumbria, mainly because the area has lived with Sellafield for so long and many families depend on it. They also agreed that job creation opportunities are positive enough to move on to the next stage.</p> <p>7 young people disagreed with the partnership and felt that the negative impacts would outweigh the positives to the area. The biggest issues for them was the impact on the Lake District and the young people were concerned about habitats that would be effected, they were concerned that this isn't included in the consultation material. If the partnership does move onto the next stage these young people said they would want to know more about this. Particularly how the impacts to habitats would be managed throughout the construction phase.</p> <p>5 young people weren't sure that they would be able to make their minds up when more is known about the size of the repository.</p>

<b>4 – Community benefits</b>	Yes – 11 No – 9	<p>11 young people agreed with the partnerships initial opinion and felt that the community benefits package is a reasonable opportunity, not a bribe.</p> <p>9 young people disagreed and thought it was a bribe, but the bribe would be good for the area. The whole group thought that this would be important to the area hosting a repository. They thought the most important aspects would be infrastructure and support to community organisations.</p> <p>The whole group agreed that the term community should include future generations because the repository would be around for a very long time.</p>